

1 NICHOLAS J. SANTORO
2 (Nevada Bar No. 532)
3 JASON D. SMITH
4 (Nevada Bar No. 9691)
5 TYLER B. THOMAS
6 (Nevada Bar No. 16637)
7 **SPENCER FANE LLP**
8 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
10 Tel.: (702) 408-3400 / Fax: (702) 938-8648
11 Email: nsantoro@spencerfane.com
12 jdsmith@spencerfane.com
13 tbthomas@spencerfane.com

14 PETER A. SWANSON
15 (pro hac vice)
16 GARY M. RUBMAN
17 (pro hac vice)
18 SIMEON BOTWINICK
19 (pro hac vice)
20 **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**
21 One CityCenter
22 850 Tenth Street, NW
23 Washington, DC 20001
24 Tel.: (202) 662-6000
25 Email: pswanson@cov.com
26 grubman@cov.com
27 sbotwinick@cov.com

28 ZIWEI SONG
1 (pro hac vice)
2 **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**
3 Salesforce Tower
4 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
5 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533
6 Tel.: (415) 591-6000
7 Email: ksong@cov.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies, Inc.
11 and Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Ltd.

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14 ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
15 ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
16 AUSTRALIA PTY LTD.,

17 Plaintiffs/Counterclaim-
18 Defendants,

19 v.

20 LIGHT & WONDER, INC., LNW GAMING,
21 INC., and SCIPLAY CORPORATION,

22 Defendants/Counterclaim-
23 Plaintiffs.

24 Civil Case No.: 2:24-cv-00382-GMN-MDC

25 **PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO
26 DEFENDANTS' EMERGENCY
27 MOTION FOR PARTIAL
28 MODIFICATION OF
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER**

1 Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. and Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Ltd.
 2 (collectively, “Aristocrat”) respectfully submit this brief in response to Defendants Light &
 3 Wonder, Inc., LNW Gaming, Inc., and SciPlay Corporation’s (collectively, “L&W”) emergency
 4 motion for partial modification of the Court’s preliminary injunction order. ECF No. 150.

5 Aristocrat does not oppose L&W’s requested two-week extension, limited as L&W
 6 proposes, on the condition that L&W provide an accounting by the close of October 23 of the
 7 responsive documents identified by that date. Through email correspondence between counsel for
 8 the parties after L&W filed its motion, L&W has agreed to that condition.¹

9 Apart from the investigation and disclosure requirements of the preliminary injunction
 10 order, Aristocrat has been attempting to engage with L&W on other high-priority discovery issues
 11 related to Aristocrat’s trade secret claims, including discovery relating to L&W’s public statements
 12 that it is “working actively” developing a new version of Dragon Train (which L&W has called
 13 “Dragon Train 2.0”), which it describes as a “very high priority” that it is “working quickly to get
 14 . . . out.”² L&W, however, has largely rebuffed Aristocrat’s efforts to resolve these discovery
 15 issues without the Court’s assistance, and has suggested that L&W will not engage on discovery
 16 in any meaningful way until sometime after October 23.

17 Concerned that L&W would use the extension of this deadline to refuse discussion of
 18 discovery issues until after November 6, Aristocrat asked L&W if this was its intent. L&W
 19 declined to answer this question. Aristocrat submits that the requested extension, if granted, should
 20 not allow L&W to refuse to engage with Aristocrat on discovery.

21 Dated: October 21, 2024

22 /s/ Jason D. Smith

23
 24 ¹ During the meet-and-confer process, counsel for L&W indicated that the requested extension
 25 might encompass more than L&W’s compliance with the requirements set forth at 20:19–20 and
 26 20:24–21:3 of the preliminary injunction order (e.g., the withdrawal of certain remaining Dragon
 27 Train installations), and L&W did not provide many of the details contained in its motion as to
 28 why it needed the extension. Without a clear understanding of the scope of the requested extension
 and the supporting reasons, Aristocrat was unable to take a position on the extension.

² See Statement of Matt Wilson, L&W President and CEO, available at <https://d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net/CIK-0000750004/8e60ac80-6bb9-4dfa-9300-bae0981bda1f.pdf>.

1 NICHOLAS J. SANTORO
2 (Nevada Bar No. 532)
3 JASON D. SMITH
4 (Nevada Bar No. 9691)
5 TYLER B. THOMAS
6 (Nevada Bar No. 16637)
7 **SPENCER FANE LLP**
8 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
10 Tel.: (702) 408-3400 / Fax: (702) 938-8648
11 Email: nsantoro@spencerfane.com
12 jdsmith@spencerfane.com
13 tbthomas@spencerfane.com

14 PETER SWANSON
15 (pro hac vice)
16 GARY RUBMAN
17 (pro hac vice)
18 SIMEON BOTWINICK
19 (pro hac vice)
20 **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**
21 One CityCenter
22 850 Tenth Street, NW
23 Washington, DC 20001
24 Tel.: (202) 662-6000
25 Email: pswanson@cov.com
26 grubman@cov.com
27 sbotwinick@cov.com

28 ZIWEI SONG
19 (pro hac vice)
20 **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**
21 Salesforce Tower
22 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
23 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533
24 Tel.: (415) 591-6000
25 Email: ksong@cov.com

26 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Aristocrat*
27 *Technologies, Inc. and Aristocrat*
28 *Technologies Australia Pty Ltd.*